



PROGRAM YEAR 2022

(July 1, 2022 - June 30, 2023)

Oakland County, MI Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER)

A summary and evaluation of how federal housing and community development funds were used from 7/1/22 - 6/30/23 to carry out the Oakland County PY 2021-2025 Consolidated Plan

Submitted to the U. S. Department of Housing & Urban Development by: Oakland County
Neighborhood & Housing Development Division

oakgov.com/community/neighborhood-housing-development/about/publications

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) # 14.218

UEI #HZ4EUKDD7AB4

CAGE# 39SZ3

HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES

Leigh-Ann Stafford • Director

NEIGHBORHOOD & HOUSING DEVELOPMENT DIVISION

Khadija Walker-Fobbs • Officer

OAKLAND COUNTY NEIGHBORHOOD & HOUSING DEVELOPMENT

1200 N Telegraph Rd • Bldg. 34E
Pontiac, Michigan 48341

t: (248) 858-0493 • f: (248) 858-5311



CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

The Oakland County Neighborhood and Housing Development Division is responsible for the preparation of the Consolidated Plan and Annual Action Plan. The County's Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) for the 2022 Program Year provides a description of activities and update of financial and performance information from **July 1, 2022, to June 30, 2023**, with reference to the current Consolidated Plan. This is the second reporting year for the **2021-2025 Consolidated Plan** that covers the 2022 Program Year.

For Program Year 2022, the Urban County was allocated **\$5,202,154** in Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), and **\$327,568** in ESG funds. The Oakland County HOME Consortium is comprised of the Urban County of Oakland (53 communities), and the Cities of Farmington Hills, Royal Oak, and Southfield. In 2022 the Consortium received HOME funds of **\$2,914,808**.

This document reports on the Consortium's HOME Program and the Urban County's CDBG accomplishments. Each of the three (3) Consortium member communities implement their own CDBG programs and activities. This report includes the accomplishments of the Oakland County Urban County CDBG program. The other Consortium members separately report CDBG accomplishments.

Oakland County developed the following goals for the **2021-2025 Consolidated Plan** based on data analysis conducted and information collected through citizen participation and consultation efforts:

1. Preserve and/or develop quality affordable housing – Strengthen the County's housing market therefore addressing the need for quality affordable housing and creating housing choice and opportunity for low-income households.

2. Support capital improvements and public service programs to meet the needs of the communities – By investing in public facilities, infrastructure, enhancing human service programs and economic opportunities we can improve the quality of life of vulnerable and low to moderate income populations.

3. Reduce housing discrimination – Promote diverse, inclusive, and equitable communities, affirmatively further fair housing, and equal opportunity, and educate communities on housing related issues.

4. Community engagement – Engage community partners and stakeholders to deepen collaboration and community engagement.

The CAPER describes Oakland County’s progress in attaining goals during the report period and summarizes information in a way that HUD and local citizens can easily understand.

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee’s program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing CDBG Admin/HIP Direct Project Costs/RLF and HOME Admin/Project Delivery Costs	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	8	2	25.00%	4	4	100.00%

Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing CDBG Admin/HIP Direct Project Costs/RLF and HOME Admin/Project Delivery Costs	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	125	0	0.00%	125	0	0.00%
Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing CDBG Admin/HIP Direct Project Costs/RLF and HOME Admin/Project Delivery Costs	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	450	322	71.56%	225	161	71.56%
Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing CDBG Admin/HIP Direct Project Costs/RLF and HOME Admin/Project Delivery Costs	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Jobs created/retained	Jobs	50	0	0.00%	Not requested annually in IDIS CAPER	Not requested annually in IDIS CAPER	Not requested annually in IDIS CAPER

Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing CDBG Admin/HIP Direct Project Costs/RLF and HOME Admin/Project Delivery Costs	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Housing Code Enforcement/Foreclosed Property Care	Household Housing Unit	1500	844	56.27%	Not requested annually in IDIS CAPER	Not requested annually in IDIS CAPER	Not requested annually in IDIS CAPER
Community Engagement	Affordable Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development CDBG Administration & Planning	CDBG: \$	Public service activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	250	20	8.00%	75	75	100.00%

Community Engagement	Affordable Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development CDBG Administration & Planning	CDBG: \$	Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers	Households Assisted	50	0	0.00%	0	0	0%
Community Engagement	Affordable Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development CDBG Administration & Planning	CDBG: \$	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	100	50	50.00%	20	88	440.00%

Community Engagement	Affordable Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development CDBG Administration & Planning	CDBG: \$	Housing Code Enforcement/Foreclosed Property Care	Household Housing Unit	0	0	0%	300	360	120.00%
Community Engagement	Affordable Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development CDBG Administration & Planning	CDBG: \$	Other	Other	15	0	0.00%	Not requested annually in IDIS CAPER	Not requested annually in IDIS CAPER	Not requested annually in IDIS CAPER

Discrimination	Affordable Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development CDBG Administration & Planning	CDBG: \$ / ESG: \$327568	Public service activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	3500	1245	35.57%	700	983	140.43%
Facilities and Services	Non-Housing Community Development CDBG Administration & Planning	CDBG: \$ / ESG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	150000	238113	158.74%	300000	238113	79.37%
Facilities and Services	Non-Housing Community Development CDBG Administration & Planning	CDBG: \$ / ESG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	10000	80489	804.89%	2000	20122	1,006.10%
Facilities and Services	Non-Housing Community Development CDBG Administration & Planning	CDBG: \$ / ESG: \$	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	1400	2653	189.50%	280	1583	565.36%

Facilities and Services	Non-Housing Community Development CDBG Administration & Planning	CDBG: \$ / ESG: \$	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	500	291	58.20%	100	99	99.00%
Facilities and Services	Non-Housing Community Development CDBG Administration & Planning	CDBG: \$ / ESG: \$	Buildings Demolished	Buildings	100	137	137.00%	12	9	75.00%
Facilities and Services	Non-Housing Community Development CDBG Administration & Planning	CDBG: \$ / ESG: \$	Housing Code Enforcement/Foreclosed Property Care	Household Housing Unit	180000	30221	16.79%	36000	9701	26.95%
Facilities and Services	Non-Housing Community Development CDBG Administration & Planning	CDBG: \$ / ESG: \$	Other	Other	10	0	0.00%	2	0	0.00%

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction's use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan,

giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

Performance Measures

Consistent with federal guidelines, the OCNHD developed a performance measurement system that identifies standardized objectives and outcomes for activities that can be reported and analyzed on a national level. The following are the statutory program goals that guide the allocation of investments: Preserve and/or develop quality Affordable Housing, Enhance Suitable Living Environments through the support of capital improvements and public service programs, reduce housing discrimination and increase community engagement. Projects are required to meet performance outcomes that relate to at least one of the following: Availability/Accessibility, Affordability or Sustainability (Promoting Livable or Viable Communities).

PY 22 - Outcomes

Goal 1. Preserve and/or develop quality affordable housing: Strengthen the County's housing market to address the need for quality affordable housing and create housing choice and opportunity for low-income households. One hundred twenty-nine homes of low-income homeowners were rehabilitated through the Home Improvement Program, totaling \$2,825,871. Participating communities expended \$545,994.89 in minor home repair grant programs for eligible low-income homeowners.

Goal 2. Support capital improvements and public service programs to meet the needs of the communities: Investing in public facilities, infrastructure, enhancing human service programs and economic opportunities improves the quality of life of vulnerable and low to moderate income populations. Participating communities expended \$147,133.80 in Code Enforcement activities and \$227,493.52 in Sidewalk improvements. Public facility projects were completed including \$197,544.21 in Removal of Architectural Barriers and \$529,373.57 in Senior Centers. The County expended \$684,506.06 towards public service programs for Battered and Abused Spouses, Disabled Services, Emergency Services, Senior Services, Transportation Services, Yard Services and Child Care Services and Youth Services. ESG funded emergency shelters served 1,583 homeless persons and \$102,655 was expended for shelter operations, and \$16,399.22 for HMIS. The ESG Rapid Re-Housing Program provided \$11,130.78 to the Community Housing Network and South Oakland Shelter to help people who were homeless or at risk of homelessness. This money was used to provide rental assistance, housing relocation, and stabilization services.

Goal 3. Reduce housing discrimination: Promote diverse, inclusive, and equitable communities, affirmatively further fair housing, and equal

opportunity, and educate communities on housing related issues. \$16,646.05 was expended by the Housing Counseling Unit to provide comprehensive housing counseling services including fair housing education and assistance to resolve mortgage defaults. Approximately 134 households received individualized housing counseling on issues such as the homebuyer process, mortgage and tax default intervention, reverse mortgages, rental issues, and financial management, while 2,429 households received referrals by phone. Actions were taken to promote Fair Housing Choice, address potential losses in Section 8 and other subsidized housing, and promote Anti-Poverty programs.

Goal 4. Community engagement. Engage community partners and stakeholders to deepen collaboration and community engagement: Meetings and Participation on various community-based Boards and related taskforces. Department representation at various community resource fairs for veterans and refugees. Updating of department website and physical resources to meet the growing need and convenience of online access to resources.

PY 22 - Major Initiatives

Served as lead agency for Oakland County HOME Consortium. Participated on Continuum of Care Board. Provided participating communities with technical assistance to develop activities that use CDBG allocations to maximum advantage while ensuring program compliance. Sustained HUD certified local housing counseling agency in a barrier free facility that accommodates special needs. Conducted CDBG fiscal and programmatic risk assessments for all participating communities. Conducted ongoing reviews of subrecipient contract files. Provided routine technical assistance on program design, administration, and compliance efforts for CDBG, HOME and ESG recipients. Monitored housing rehabilitation and construction projects to assure quality, manage change orders and advise contractors concerning unanticipated issues. Provided ongoing telephone technical assistance to CDBG, HOME and ESG entities to ensure program compliance. Engaged the 20-member Citizens Advisory Council to review CDBG, HOME and ESG policies, procedures and performance and provide recommendations for enhanced program delivery. Facilitated the application process for low-income clients of the Home Improvement Program.

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted).

91.520(a)

	CDBG	HOME	ESG
White	6,881	110	0
Black or African American	680	43	0
Asian	48	0	0
American Indian or American Native	10	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	11	0	0
Total	7,630	153	0
Hispanic	61	0	0
Not Hispanic	7,569	153	0

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

Narrative

The CAPER includes a description of the race and ethnicity of those assisted. Totals shown for CDBG and HOME are pre-populated based on the accomplishments entered in IDIS. Oakland County must maintain data on the extent to which each racial and ethnic group and single-headed households (by gender of household head) have applied for, participated in, or benefited from any program or activity funded in whole or in part by CDBG or HOME funds.

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG	public - federal	6,202,154	5,248,999
HOME	public - federal	3,914,808	2,594,930
ESG	public - federal	327,568	130,185

Table 3 - Resources Made Available

Narrative

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description
Oakland County	33		Urban County
Oakland County HOME Consortium	67		Consortium

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative

OCNHD administers HUD CPD grant programs through authorization of the Oakland County BOC. Current programs consist of CDBG, HOME and ESG. OCNHD targets program funds to LMI areas, individuals and households as determined by HUD, based on Oakland County demographics and U.S. Census Bureau estimates. The County contains sixty-one units of general local government, including twenty-one townships, ten villages and thirty cities. The following fifty-three (87%) of Oakland County communities participate in the County's "Urban County" CDBG housing and community development programs:

Cities - Auburn Hills, Berkley, Birmingham, Bloomfield Hills, Clarkston, Clawson, Farmington, Ferndale, Hazel Park, Huntington Woods, Keego Harbor, Lathrup Village, Madison Heights, Northville, Novi, Oak Park, Orchard Lake Village, Pleasant Ridge, Pontiac, Rochester, Rochester Hills, South Lyon, Sylvan Lake, Troy, Walled Lake, Wixom **Townships** - Addison, Bloomfield, Brandon, Commerce, Groveland, Highland, Holly, Independence, Lyon, Milford, Oakland, Orion, Oxford, Rose, Royal Oak, Springfield, West Bloomfield, White Lake **Villages** -Beverly Hills, Franklin, Holly, Lake Orion, Leonard, Milford, Ortonville, Oxford and Wolverine Lake.

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state, and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match	
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	1,109,850
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	619,397
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	1,729,247
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	321,727
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	1,407,520

Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year								
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

HOME MBE/WBE report

Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period				
Balance on hand at beginning of reporting period \$	Amount received during reporting period \$	Total amount expended during reporting period \$	Amount expended for TBRA \$	Balance on hand at end of reporting period \$
2,218,065	1,812,066	1,750,166	0	2,279,965

Table 7 – Program Income

Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises – Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period						
	Total	Minority Business Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	2,901,186	0	0	152,173	0	2,749,013
Number	140	0	0	7	0	133
Sub-Contracts						
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male			
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	2,905,486	278,902	2,626,584			
Number	141	13	128			
Sub-Contracts						
Number	0	0	0			
Dollar Amount	0	0	0			

Table 8 - Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted						
	Total	Minority Property Owners				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property

Relocation and Real Property Acquisition – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition						
Parcels Acquired		0		0		
Businesses Displaced		0		0		
Nonprofit Organizations Displaced		0		0		
Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced		0		0		
Households Displaced	Total	Minority Property Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	1,500	1,583
Number of Non-Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	388	189
Number of Special-Needs households to be provided affordable housing units	173	24
Total	2,061	1,796

Table 11 – Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through Rental Assistance	150	0
Number of households supported through The Production of New Units	29	3
Number of households supported through Rehab of Existing Units	167	189
Number of households supported through Acquisition of Existing Units	3	0
Total	349	192

Table 12 – Number of Households Supported

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

Prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, production was impacted due to the lack of available qualified contractors and subcontractors. However, as the economy has improved and more contractors have returned to the workforce, the impact of COVID-19 on the HIP program has lessened. The program continues to receive inquiries from interested contractors, and we are optimistic that we will be able to meet our production goals. OCHND requires HIP contractors to be state licensed, insured, and certified as a lead abatement contractor. We are committed to ensuring that all our contractors meet the highest

standards of quality and safety. The HIP program is ongoing. Applications are submitted, reviewed, and eligibility determined. Specs are written, bids taken, and construction begins. Each job is inspected to ensure work is completed successfully before issuing contractor payments. Contracts with the non-profit housing developers to produce new LI housing are written with an eighteen-month expiration. We are confident that the HIP program will continue to be successful in providing affordable housing to low-income families in Oakland County.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

Despite the continued complications with the County's fiscal services and the recent leadership transition impacting reporting, the County was successful in meeting or exceeding most of its affordable housing goals in 2022. No program changes are needed at this time. Reported expenditures are artificially lower due to the County's fiscal department software transition and hold on processing invoices and requesting drawdowns. However, the programs are continuing to run as efficiently as possible, and we are confident that we will be able to meet our goals in 2023. We appreciate the patience and understanding of our community partners and stakeholders during this time. We are committed to providing affordable housing to the residents of Oakland County, and we will continue to work hard to overcome these challenges.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Households Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual
Extremely Low-income	0	22
Low-income	29	39
Moderate-income	20	29
Total	49	90

Table 13 – Number of Households Served

Narrative Information

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

ESG subrecipient agencies conduct an initial evaluation to determine each individual or family's eligibility for assistance and the amount and types of assistance needed to regain stability in permanent housing. Evaluations are conducted in accordance with Oakland County CoC requirements. After initial evaluation, a housing plan is developed. Unless prohibited under the Violence Against Women Act of 1994 or the Family Violence Prevention and Services Act, ESG recipients are connected to appropriate supportive services.

Individualized plans are developed to assist program participants to retain permanent housing after assistance ends, considering all relevant considerations. Each participant is linked to available permanent supportive housing programs or local Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) Programs, public housing commission and project based rental assistance when waiting lists are open. Emergency Shelter and Transitional Housing allows the homeless to stay longer if waiting for a unit to be ready and progressing toward goals.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

CR-25 Homeless & Other Needs continued

The Homeless Healthcare Collaboration partners housing providers with hospital social workers to ensure that patients are not discharged from health care facilities directly into the streets; HOPE Hospitality has a recuperative care facility for those who are homeless and have a current health crisis to be housed and full-time nursing care. Michigan's Department of Health and Human Services work with local agencies to ensure that youth being discharged from the foster care system have a safe place to

stay. Oakland Community Health Network works with four core providers to insure those who have a mental illness or are developmentally delayed have the support system they need to locate and remain housed. There is a diversion program that allows individuals who are homeless to be taken to Hope Hospitality Shelter instead of jail if more appropriate. The Oakland County Emergency Solutions Grant, the Michigan State Housing Development Authority, and HUD all fund homeless prevention and rapid rehousing programs. The Eviction Diversion Program Pontiac MI, funded through private donations and foundations, work with landlords, the MI Department of Health & Human Services, and other local non-profits to keep low-income tenants living within the City of Pontiac and who have an eviction notice housed. The Homeless Healthcare Collaboration partners housing providers with hospital social workers to ensure that patients are not discharged from health care facilities directly into the streets; HOPE Hospitality has a recuperative care facility for those who are homeless and have a current health crisis to be housed and full-time nursing care. Michigan Department of Health and Human Services work with local agencies to ensure that youth being discharged from the foster care system have a safe place to stay. Oakland Community Health Network (formerly the Oakland County Community Mental Health Authority) works with four core providers to insure those who have a mental illness or are developmentally delayed have the support system they need to locate and remain housed. There is a diversion program that allows individuals who are homeless to be taken to Hope Hospitality Shelter instead of jail if more appropriate.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

Oakland County does not administer public housing. However, several communities within the County operate public and assisted housing. The public housing commissions in Ferndale, Pontiac, Southfield, and Royal Oak Township are entities separate from Oakland County Government and, therefore, the County has no control over the quality of the housing and resident initiatives. The cities of Ferndale, Pontiac, South Lyon, and Royal Oak Township have public housing. The cities of Southfield, Ferndale and Pontiac offer Housing Choice Vouchers (HCV). In addition, the Michigan State Housing Development Authority (MSHDA) has HCV in the Urban County. The Public Housing in Oakland County is involved in the PHA repositioning programs.

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

The mission of the Housing Authorities/Commissions is to assist low-income families by expanding their housing opportunities to access safe, sanitary, decent, and affordable housing, free from discrimination, thereby promoting economic self-sufficiency. Commissions address mission by accomplishing their own goals and objectives. OCNHD Division provides timely response to requests for Certificates of Consistency from Public Housing Commissions in participating communities, consults with Public Housing Commissions on the development, amendments to and performance of the Con Plan and provides referrals.

Our staff will continue to partner and work with the local PHAs as needed to ensure the housing stability of its residents.

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

Three of Oakland County's Public Housing Commissions (PHAs) have public housing. Two are currently undergoing HUD's PHA repositioning – Ferndale (168 units of public housing) and Pontiac (431 units). In addition, there are 3,691 Housing Choice Vouchers (HCV) from MSHDA, Ferndale, Southfield, and Pontiac Housing Commissions. Although it varies, the Detroit Housing Commission has approximately 1,250 HCVs in Oakland County and the Plymouth Housing Commission, which has absorbed Oakland County's South Lyon Housing Commission, has approximately 238 HCVs in Oakland County. There are approximately 2,350 units of public housing in Oakland County. It is difficult for the County and the housing commissions to collaborate on a sustained basis, apart from sharing information and supporting each other's projects.

Oakland County is not aware of any troubled PHAs.

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

CR-35 Other Actions continued

Oakland County maintains an Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice (AI) at <https://www.oakgov.com/home/showpublisheddocument/1950/638043795540470000>. Oakland County participated in the Continuum of Care by implementing Con Plan and Annual Action Plan activities in support of affordable housing and encouraging low-income participation in the preparation of annual plans. Oakland County also utilized plans, goals and input from participating communities in performance measurement system that reflects goals and outcome measures by offering HUD certified Housing Counselors to address fair housing and affordable housing needs, promoting fair housing and equal opportunity in all CDBG, HOME and ESG programs, working with Oakland County Treasurer to help homeowners resolve or remediate property tax delinquencies and prevent tax default, and distributing Fair Housing Toolkit to educate communities on actions they can take to eliminate obstacles to fair housing choice.

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

CR-35 Other Actions (2)

Rent burden is the sum of rent plus utilities plus the cost of renter insurance, if any, divided by household income. Rent burden ratios equal to or greater than 50% are considered excessive because, for these households, the cost of renting may leave inadequate resources for other needs. The threshold for determining disproportionate need is a difference of 10%; when the percent of an ethnic/racial group experiencing a problem exceeds the County wide norm by more than 10% for that income group, it is classified as disproportionate need. Oakland County Housing Counseling meets with households who have rental issues. A spending plan is prepared, and ratios (31/42) are calculated so that a prospective renter is aware of affordability. The unit provides residents with the Oakland County Affordable Housing List containing over 13,000 units in Oakland County within 75 projects and 26 communities. We are on a list serve and know when any Housing Commission within the Detroit Metro Area will open their Housing Choice Voucher Waiting List. Referral is made to www.michiganhousinglocator.com, a database of rental properties, many of which are considered affordable in Michigan. They are provided with other resources for utilities, food, medical, diapers, gas

cards, etc. which may free up additional income to be used toward housing.

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The following actions have been taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families: (See attached text)

CR 35 - Actions to Reduce Poverty-Level Families

The County's Anti-Poverty Strategy is composed of services to help LI persons stay in their homes, services for LI persons in crisis, and job creation activities. The County HOME program provides funding for non-profits, local units of government and developers to provide affordable housing opportunities. The CDBG program allows local units of government to offer services for LI residents that may include emergency services, housing, housing counseling and job creation services. Crisis Services - Many communities provide emergency food and clothing for families in crisis, minor home repair programs and yard services for eligible clients that are unable to perform tasks due to age and/or disability and transportation services for senior and disabled residents. The County will continue to encourage local communities to use CDBG funds for anti-poverty projects. The following participating communities allocated PY 2022 funds to Public Service Emergency Service activities to assist LI persons in crisis: Novi, Rochester Hills, Wixom, Milford Township, Springfield Township, and Oxford Village. South Oakland Shelter provided crisis services through ESG Rapid Re-Housing. Housing Counseling - Approximately 134 households received individualized housing counseling on issues such as the homebuyer process, mortgage and tax default intervention, reverse mortgages, rental issues, and financial management. Additionally, 2,429 households received referrals by phone.

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

CR 35 - Institutional Structure continued

The County also: Tracked CDBG expenditures and program progress and ensures overall compliance. Used documentation, including labor certifications, invoices and the like, to monitor individual CDBG projects for compliance. Each participating community corrects emergent problems and OCNHD provides technical assistance as needed. Monitored fund use to ensure timeliness, and monitor compliance with HOME requirements including continuing affordability, affirmative marketing, procurement, and labor standards and shares the results with Oakland County HOME Consortium members. Monitored subrecipients, developers, and CHDO activities as prescribed by regulation.

Continued to work with the Continuum of Care to overcome gaps in institutional structure and support the work of enhancing coordination of services to residents experiencing or at risk of experiencing homelessness through outreach events, trainings and workshops and committee work to assist organizations to overcome system gaps and client barriers. Hosted pre-bid and pre-construction meetings prior to CDBG and HOME assisted projects providing education and technical assistance to ensure that affirmative marketing, procurement, Section 3, U.S. Department of Labor, and Davis-Bacon Act requirements are met. Provided Home Improvement Program (HIP) contractors with technical assistance to build their capacity. Recertified CHDOs before the application process. Maintained a MSHDA and HUD certified housing counseling unit.

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The following actions have been taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies: (See attached text)

CR 35 - Public and Private Service Coordination

During PY 2022, OCNHD staff continued to provide information to local units of government and assist them in identifying and coordinating funding opportunities, with a focus on increasing actions aimed at expanding community development improvements and services to low-income persons and limited clientele populations. OCNHD staff serve on the CoC board and provide referrals and resources to those who are at risk of or experiencing homelessness. The addition of the department Community Engagement Liaison has proven to be an essential connection to furthering the department knowledge and reach in Oakland County. Through the insertion of this representative, the County now has representation and connection in various community endeavors; as well as aided in the coordination and attainment of public and private resources for many organizations throughout the County. Participating communities partnered with social service agencies to expand a variety of public services for low-income persons and households during PY 2022. Public services included Battered and Abused Spouses, Childcare Services, Disabled Services, Down Payment Assistance, Emergency Services, Food Banks, Housekeeping, Neighborhood Cleanups, Senior Services, Transportation Services, Yard Services, and Youth Services. OCNHD continued to coordinate the provision of CPD Grant resources in efforts to further affordable housing development and homebuyer assistance, as well as continuing financial assistance to individuals and families who are either homeless or in immediate risk of losing their housing. Oakland County worked with local financial lending institutions, MSHDA and the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Rural Development Program to further affordable housing funds and initiatives. OCNHD staff provided information from HUD and MSHDA to those public agencies, individuals and/or non- and for-profit developers interested in utilizing their entity's programs for producing affordable housing projects. Staff reviewed and approved appropriate requests for Certificates of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan to ensure that proposed programs met goals and objectives. OCNHD staff worked with the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Rural Development Program staff to provide referrals to programs within the USDA's Oakland County based jurisdiction. In

addition, staff provided technical assistance and information about fair housing and the advancement of housing related projects for populations in need of affordable and barrier-free housing to local governments, non-profits, CHDO and for-profit developers. OCNHD coordinated with service providers by facilitating and participating in community-wide committees and engaging local experts to recommend and provide programs for target populations. Primarily, this is done through the collaborative relationship with the Alliance for Housing, Oakland County's Continuum of Care. OCNHD participated in and provided support to work groups of the CoC, assisted the CoC with its responsibilities for monitoring, evaluating, and applying for funds through the HUD CoC funding process and the ESG funding process. Efforts to support partners and develop new affiliations to assist serving low-income populations continued. Initiatives focused on disseminating educational information through virtual workshops, partner meetings and traditional and innovative media advertising which helped to inform the public about OCNHD programs.

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

CR 35 - Fair Housing Initiatives and Actions (1-4)

Housing Counseling Services - The OCNHD Division HUD certified Housing Counseling unit continues to promote fair housing to address and prevent housing discrimination under state and federal fair housing laws. The Unit, with three full-time staff, is both a MSHDA and HUD certified agency and has adopted the National Industry Standards. All counselors are HUD and MSHDA certified and complete mandatory annual continuing education for all certifications as well as fair housing. Two counselors are on the HUD HECM roster. The staff maintains NCHCEC certifications in program management, homeownership counseling, financial capability counseling and foreclosure intervention and default counseling. Services address mortgage and property tax default, predatory lending, housing discrimination, home buying, affordable housing mortgages, reverse mortgages, tenant rights/responsibilities, preventing homelessness, home repairs, subsidized housing, rental assistance for low-income households and credit/budget issues. Housing Counselors receive ongoing Fair Housing training each year. Oakland County Housing Counseling is a barrier free facility and offers interpreter services to clients with language barriers or hearing-impairments and works to accommodate other special needs. Housing Counseling & Help with Housing Discrimination Public Awareness - Oakland County offers housing counseling brochures, palm-cards and posters in English and Spanish, promoting help with housing discrimination for distribution countywide and specifically within communities having substantial minority and lower income population concentrations. OCNHD continued its partnership with the Oakland County Treasurer to resolve property tax delinquency and foreclosure. The Treasurers Office promotes OCNHD housing counseling services including fair housing in all past due property tax notices to residents for resource and referral. Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Improves Access to Public Facilities and Services - Many CDBG sub-recipients prioritize the use of CDBG funds to improve barrier free access to public facilities. Projects improve access to street crossings, sidewalks, parking,

public buildings, parks, public restrooms, meeting spaces, private single-family owner-occupied homes, mobile (manufactured) homes, etc. Communities also prioritize equal opportunity public services to address the special needs of low income, disabled and senior residents including home chore, yard services transportation, emergency food/clothing. Equal Opportunity to Improve Homes - OCNHD administers a full service county-wide, equal opportunity Home Improvement Program for low-income residents to upgrade their home and neighborhood. Promotion includes direct mailings to households with lower housing values countywide to help sustain neighborhoods. These mailings also include housing counseling information.

CR 35 - Fair Housing Initiatives and Actions (5-7)

Fair Housing Month Celebration - Although the in-person celebration has remained on hold, the department continued efforts to affirmatively further fair housing. Oakland County Housing Counseling provided fair housing education to 134 households and referred others to the Fair Housing Center of Metro Detroit. Continued distribution of our Annual Services and Programs booklet to all 63 communities (City/Township/Village Halls, libraries, non-profits, senior centers) and published in the Oakland Press dedicated a page to Fair Housing Education and referral. The County, led by the Neighborhood and Housing Development Division, has undertaken the funding of a Landlord Mitigation Fund to encourage landlords to lease to those on publicly funded programs (homeless assistance programs and housing choice vouchers, primarily held by persons of color who are low income), contracted for a Blue Print to End Homelessness (disparate racial equity) and has introduced legislation to ban income discrimination and eliminate other barriers to fair housing in Oakland County. This legislation all included resolutions to call on all Oakland County Cities, villages, and townships to adopt local policies to prohibit Source of Income housing discrimination; create the Oakland County Fair Housing Fund that would provide \$1 million in grants to local cities, villages, and townships for fair housing education, enforcement, and/or other local fair housing efforts. Equal Opportunity and Access - Oakland County ensures equal opportunity and access to all federally funded programs and activities. Community & Agency Fair Housing Outreach - Sub-recipient communities, housing development agencies and public service agencies funded by federal U.S. Department of Housing & Urban Development programs prominently place fair housing posters and information for the public to view and are required to affirmatively further fair housing in providing services.

CR 35 - Fair Housing Initiatives and Actions (8-10)

CHDOS - Oakland County Supports Equal Opportunity Affordable Housing Development OCNHD funds Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDOs) to develop and expand affordable homeownership for low-income homebuyers. Advocacy for Affordable Housing Developers - OCNHD provides Certificates of Consistency and advocacy for affordable housing developers and non-profit agencies to obtain low-income tax credits and/or other state and federal housing assistance in developing multifamily rental housing. Homelessness Prevention and Rapid Rehousing - OCNHD provides equal opportunity and access to rental housing through Emergency Solutions Grant Homeless Prevention and Rapid Re-Housing assistance. All households should have the opportunity to make

personal housing choices that are within their economic means while best meeting needs and preferences. The County will continue to identify impediments to fair housing choice and develop strategies to affirmatively further fair housing.

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

CR-40 Monitoring: Major Steps

Major steps include - Documenting the process and recording the rationale for selecting subrecipients for monitoring. Rating and selecting subrecipients for monitoring and Identifying monitoring objectives. Conducting on-site monitoring visits in compliance with the Subrecipient Monitoring policy. Conducting ongoing desk reviews of all subrecipient contract files. Closely monitoring procurement and labor compliance for all subrecipients during the time of procurement, contract award and during project implementation. Reviewing expenditures to determine if they support approved activities and if costs are eligible. Contractual compliance, including compliance with applicable Federal rules and regulations and with state and local standards. Providing technical assistance on program design, administration, and compliance efforts. Monitoring housing construction projects to assure quality, manage change orders, advise contractors concerning unanticipated problems and require changes to work already performed as needed. Monitoring activity expenditures to ensure timely program implementation including on-site property inspections of HOME rental projects at least once every three years and conducting CDBG 101 orientations with new subrecipient staff.

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

This report was prepared in accordance with Part 91 of the Federal Register, and in accordance with the County's Citizen Participation Plan which provides citizens with a 15-day public comment period. A notice seeking public comment and participation in a public hearing was published on August 21, 2023, in the Oakland Press. The public hearing was held at 10:00 a.m. on September 1, 2023.

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

For PY 2022, Oakland County used 20% of the grant allocation for administration. It then allocated the remaining 80% of CDBG funds for local communities to provide housing, public facility, public infrastructure and public service programs and the County to improve affordable housing.

The County's program is on target. The activities undertaken by the County with CDBG funds are succeeding in meeting the goals and objectives of the County's Con Plan. While the overall goals and objectives are being met, the County is working on the following programmatic changes:

1. Increase the rate of expenditure of funds to reduce the balance of unexpended funds being carried forward from year to year by emphasizing to subrecipients the need for pre-design of projects.
2. Increase technical assistance efforts geared toward subrecipient timely spending performance accomplishment reporting and exploration and selection of eligible activities.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?

No

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

CR-50 - HOME 24 CFR 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in 24 CFR §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

The County has four (4) rental units; three (3) located in Pontiac, and one (1) in Royal Oak Township. The rental unit located in Royal Oak Township was inspected in April of 2023. The repairs needed include repair/replace upper rear bedroom closet, install caps on side entrance porch posts where missing, repair/replace/remove front storm door to be operational, remove existing south side gutter over porch and install new gutter over south side porch, repair/replace siding on south dormer where loose and clean and check furnace for carbon monoxide by a licensed contractor and submit report to our office.

The repairs are on-going. Periodical inspections will continue to be performed for compliance.

Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 24 CFR 91.520(e) and 24 CFR 92.351(a)

CR 50 - Actions

An advertising campaign is developed within the market area to provide information concerning programming and availability of resources. All promotional materials use the Equal Housing Opportunity logo or slogan in press releases and solicitations. Each CHDO under contract certifies that they affirmatively market projects of 5 or more housing units in accordance with the County Affirmative Marketing Plan. In addition, each CHDO has a written plan which outlines its efforts to inform and solicit applications from persons in the housing market who are not likely to apply for housing without special outreach. The CHDOs maintain records to document affirmative marketing efforts for HOME projects. Oakland County reviews each participating CHDO affirmative marketing actions to assess success and/or discuss corrective actions to be taken where requirements were not met.

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

**Table 16 - PY 2022 Owner Characteristics of Home Improvement Program
Projects funded with HOME Program Income**

Income Levels (AMI)				
80%	50-60%	30-50%	Under 30%	
29	12	27	22	
Characteristics				
Female Head	Elderly	Handicap		
55	41	17		
White	Minority	Hispanic		
62	27	0		
Small Family (1-4 persons)		Large Family 5+ (persons)		
84		5		

CR 50 - Table 16

**Table 16 - PY 2022 Owner Characteristics of Home Improvement Program Projects funded with HOME
PI**

**Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 24 CFR 91.220(k)
(STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable
housing). 24 CFR 91.320(j)**

The Oakland County HIP provides 0% interest, no payment loans to low-income homeowners to help them maintain their homes. The program has been in place since 1975 and has helped over 3,200 homeowners. In 2022, the program completed 129 jobs. The County also offers CDBG funding for minor home repairs and mobile home repairs. CDBG funds also support the County Housing Counseling Unit, which provides first time homebuyer, landlord/tenant, and foreclosure prevention counseling. To increase home ownership, the County provides funding to Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDOs) to purchase vacant land and build new energy efficient homes or purchase existing homes to rehabilitate. The homes are sold to low-income households and the improvements help maintain the home for a minimum of 5 years. The County recognizes the need for affordable housing and continues to work to expand opportunities through the HOME and ESG programs. In 2022, the County prioritized funding the CHDO Homebuyer Program to address affordable housing needs and provide homeownership opportunities. See the attached Tables to view program performance and demographic information for PY 22.

Table 20 - PY 2018 - 2022 Home Improvement Program Jobs (SE Community/Pontiac Projects)

PY	Completion for Entire Area	SE Community/Pontiac Projects	% of Total for Entire Area	Loan Limit
2018	253	98	39%	\$21,905
2019	210	92	44%	
2020	192	82	43%	
2021	120	51	43%	
2022	129	51	39%	

Source: OCNHD

Berkley, Clawson, Ferndale, Hazel Park, Madison Heights, Oak Park and Royal Oak Township are in southeast Oakland County. The communities and the City of Pontiac have aging housing stock, infrastructure and population.

Minor Home Repair (MHR) - MHR programs are administered by participating communities to provide labor and materials for repairs and accessibility modifications to home of eligible residents. All applicants must income-qualify based on HUD Section 8 income limits. All repairs must conform to the State of Michigan Lead Based Paint regulations. All projects must be competitively bid based on federal procurement guidelines (24 CFR part 85.36). During PY 2022, the following communities allocated \$476,501 in CDBG grants funds for MHR: Auburn Hills, Birmingham, Ferndale, Rochester Hills, Novi, Bloomfield Township, Independence Township, Rose Township, White Lake Township, and Beverly Hills Village. During the period, affordable housing needs were addressed by the 115 MHR projects completed in participating communities.

Mobile Home Minor Home Repair (MHMHR) - In PY 22, Oakland County and Habitat for Humanity entered into a \$86,166 MHMHR contract to improve affordable housing units in Madison Heights, Addison Township, Groveland Township, Milford Township, Oakland Township, and Oxford Township over 18 months. This administrative contract provides for specific eligible repairs including roofs, water heaters and furnaces to low income residents who own mobile homes in targeted areas. All applicants must reside in participating communities and income-qualify based on HUD Section 8 income limits. All repairs must conform to the State of Michigan Lead Based Paint regulation and applicable State of Michigan mobile home construction standards. All projects must be competitively bid based on the federal procurement guidelines (24 CFR part 85.36).

CR 50 - Table 20

Table 18 - PY 2018-2022 Home Improvement Program (HIP) Accomplishments

Table 20 - PY 2018 - 2022 Home Improvement Program Jobs (SE Community/Pontiac Projects)

PY	Completion for Entire Area	SE Community/Pontiac Projects	% of Total for Entire Area	Loan Limit
2018	253	98	39%	\$21,905
2019	210	92	44%	
2020	192	82	43%	
2021	120	51	43%	
2022	129	51	39%	

Source: OCNHD

Berkley, Clawson, Ferndale, Hazel Park, Madison Heights, Oak Park and Royal Oak Township are in southeast Oakland County. The communities and the City of Pontiac have aging housing stock, infrastructure and population.

Minor Home Repair (MHR) - MHR programs are administered by participating communities to provide labor and materials for repairs and accessibility modifications to home of eligible residents. All applicants must income-qualify based on HUD Section 8 income limits. All repairs must conform to the State of Michigan Lead Based Paint regulations. All projects must be competitively bid based on federal procurement guidelines (24 CFR part 85.36). During PY 2022, the following communities allocated \$476,501 in CDBG grants funds for MHR: Auburn Hills, Birmingham, Ferndale, Rochester Hills, Novi, Bloomfield Township, Independence Township, Rose Township, White Lake Township, and Beverly Hills Village. During the period, affordable housing needs were addressed by the 115 MHR projects completed in participating communities.

Mobile Home Minor Home Repair (MHMHR) - In PY 22, Oakland County and Habitat for Humanity entered into a \$86,166 MHMHR contract to improve affordable housing units in Madison Heights, Addison Township, Groveland Township, Milford Township, Oakland Township, and Oxford Township over 18 months. This administrative contract provides for specific eligible repairs including roofs, water heaters and furnaces to low income residents who own mobile homes in targeted areas. All applicants must reside in participating communities and income-qualify based on HUD Section 8 income limits. All repairs must conform to the State of Michigan Lead Based Paint regulation and applicable State of Michigan mobile home construction standards. All projects must be competitively bid based on the federal procurement guidelines (24 CFR part 85.36).

CR 50 - Table 20

Table 19 - PY 2018-2022 Characteristics of HIP Closed Loan Recipients

Table 20 - PY 2018 - 2022 Home Improvement Program Jobs (SE Community/Pontiac Projects)

PY	Completion for Entire Area	SE Community/Pontiac Projects	% of Total for Entire Area	Loan Limit
2018	253	98	39%	\$21,905
2019	210	92	44%	
2020	192	82	43%	
2021	120	51	43%	
2022	129	51	39%	

Source: OCNHD

Berkley, Clawson, Ferndale, Hazel Park, Madison Heights, Oak Park and Royal Oak Township are in southeast Oakland County. The communities and the City of Pontiac have aging housing stock, infrastructure and population.

Minor Home Repair (MHR) - MHR programs are administered by participating communities to provide labor and materials for repairs and accessibility modifications to home of eligible residents. All applicants must income-qualify based on HUD Section 8 income limits. All repairs must conform to the State of Michigan Lead Based Paint regulations. All projects must be competitively bid based on federal procurement guidelines (24 CFR part 85.36). During PY 2022, the following communities allocated \$476,501 in CDBG grants funds for MHR: Auburn Hills, Birmingham, Ferndale, Rochester Hills, Novi, Bloomfield Township, Independence Township, Rose Township, White Lake Township, and Beverly Hills Village. During the period, affordable housing needs were addressed by the 115 MHR projects completed in participating communities.

Mobile Home Minor Home Repair (MHMHR) - In PY 22, Oakland County and Habitat for Humanity entered into a \$86,166 MHMHR contract to improve affordable housing units in Madison Heights, Addison Township, Groveland Township, Milford Township, Oakland Township, and Oxford Township over 18 months. This administrative contract provides for specific eligible repairs including roofs, water heaters and furnaces to low income residents who own mobile homes in targeted areas. All applicants must reside in participating communities and income-qualify based on HUD Section 8 income limits. All repairs must conform to the State of Michigan Lead Based Paint regulation and applicable State of Michigan mobile home construction standards. All projects must be competitively bid based on the federal procurement guidelines (24 CFR part 85.36).

CR 50 - Table 20

Table 20 - PY 2018-2022 Home Improvement Program Jobs (SE Community/Pontiac Projects)

CR-58 – Section 3

Identify the number of individuals assisted and the types of assistance provided

Total Labor Hours	CDBG	HOME	ESG	HOPWA	HTF
Total Number of Activities	6	1	0	0	0
Total Labor Hours	7,867	0			
Total Section 3 Worker Hours	0	0			
Total Targeted Section 3 Worker Hours	0	0			

Table 14 – Total Labor Hours

Qualitative Efforts - Number of Activities by Program	CDBG	HOME	ESG	HOPWA	HTF
Outreach efforts to generate job applicants who are Public Housing Targeted Workers	0				
Outreach efforts to generate job applicants who are Other Funding Targeted Workers.	4				
Direct, on-the job training (including apprenticeships).	1				
Indirect training such as arranging for, contracting for, or paying tuition for, off-site training.	4				
Technical assistance to help Section 3 workers compete for jobs (e.g., resume assistance, coaching).	0				
Outreach efforts to identify and secure bids from Section 3 business concerns.	0				
Technical assistance to help Section 3 business concerns understand and bid on contracts.	0				
Division of contracts into smaller jobs to facilitate participation by Section 3 business concerns.	0				
Provided or connected residents with assistance in seeking employment including drafting resumes, preparing for interviews, finding job opportunities, connecting residents to job placement services.	0				
Held one or more job fairs.	0				
Provided or connected residents with supportive services that can provide direct services or referrals.	0				
Provided or connected residents with supportive services that provide one or more of the following: work readiness health screenings, interview clothing, uniforms, test fees, transportation.	0				
Assisted residents with finding childcare.	0				
Assisted residents to apply for or attend community college or a four-year educational institution.	0				
Assisted residents to apply for or attend vocational/technical training.	2				
Assisted residents to obtain financial literacy training and/or coaching.	0				
Bonding assistance, guaranties, or other efforts to support viable bids from Section 3 business concerns.	0				
Provided or connected residents with training on computer use or online technologies.	0				
Promoting the use of a business registry designed to create opportunities for disadvantaged and small businesses.	0				
Outreach, engagement, or referrals with the state one-stop system, as designed in Section 121(e)(2) of the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act.	0				

Other.	3				
--------	---	--	--	--	--

Table 15 – Qualitative Efforts - Number of Activities by Program

Narrative

The Oakland County Neighborhood & Housing Development Division is committed to providing opportunities for Section 3 residents and business concerns to participate in productive and meaningful employment. We integrate Section 3 components into our contracting opportunities to the greatest extent feasible, with the aim of providing Section 3 residents with employment options that will promote self-sufficiency, enhance employability, and financial independence. Our outreach efforts include our website, job fairs, and signage. We distribute data collection forms at pre-construction meetings and collect them at the end of the job. We also provide financial assistance to contractors to attend technical trainings to obtain their Lead Paint License through the state of Michigan.

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps*

For Paperwork Reduction Act

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

Basic Grant Information

Recipient Name	OAKLAND COUNTY
Organizational DUNS Number	136200362
UEI	
EIN/TIN Number	386004876
Identify the Field Office	DETROIT
Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance	Pontiac/Royal Oak/Oakland County CoC

ESG Contact Name

Prefix	Ms.
First Name	Khadija
Middle Name	
Last Name	Walker-Fobbs
Suffix	
Title	Officer

ESG Contact Address

Street Address 1	1200 N. Telegraph Rd, 34E
Street Address 2	

City	Pontiac
State	MI
ZIP Code	48341
Phone Number	248-230-4536
Extension	
Fax Number	
Email Address	walker-fobbsk@oakgov.com

ESG Secondary Contact

Prefix	Mr.
First Name	Stephen
Last Name	O'Donnell
Suffix	
Title	Housing Counseling Supervisor
Phone Number	248-452-9200
Extension	
Email Address	odonnells@oakgov.com

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date	07/01/2022
Program Year End Date	06/30/2023

3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name
City
State
Zip Code
DUNS Number
UEI
Is subrecipient a victim services provider
Subrecipient Organization Type
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount

CR-65 - Persons Assisted

4. Persons Served

4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	13
Children	17
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	30

Table 16 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	35
Children	23
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	58

Table 17 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

4c. Complete for Shelter

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	958
Children	625
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	1,583

Table 18 – Shelter Information

4d. Street Outreach

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 19 – Household Information for Street Outreach

4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	1,006
Children	665
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	1,671

Table 20 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

5. Gender—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Male	749
Female	904
Transgender	6
Don't Know/Refused/Other	6
Missing Information	6
Total	1,671

Table 21 – Gender Information

6. Age—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Under 18	665
18-24	141
25 and over	865
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	1,671

Table 22 – Age Information

7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities

Number of Persons in Households				
Subpopulation	Total	Total Persons Served – Prevention	Total Persons Served – RRH	Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters
Veterans	47	0	0	47
Victims of Domestic Violence	578	4	18	556
Elderly	94	0	4	90
HIV/AIDS	11	0	0	11
Chronically Homeless	194	0	15	179
Persons with Disabilities:				
Severely Mentally Ill	526	7	22	497
Chronic Substance Abuse	133	1	5	127
Other Disability	331	3	16	312
Total (Unduplicated if possible)	1,914	15	80	1,819

Table 23 – Special Population Served

CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

10. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units - Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units - Conversion	0
Total Number of bed-nights available	119,326
Total Number of bed-nights provided	85,558
Capacity Utilization	71.70%

Table 24 – Shelter Capacity

11. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

CR-75 – Expenditures

11. Expenditures

11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2020	2021	2022
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	21,538	46,270	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	0	0	0
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention	21,538	46,270	0

Table 25 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2020	2021	2022
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	29,288	30,022	2,787
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	24,072	28,806	1,820
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	2,788	7,624	6,522
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing	56,148	66,452	11,129

Table 26 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2020	2021	2022
Essential Services	0	0	0
Operations	120,766	178,295	96,927
Renovation	0	0	0

Major Rehab	0	0	0
Conversion	0	0	0
Subtotal	120,766	178,295	96,927

Table 27 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2020	2021	2022
Street Outreach	0	0	0
HMIS	18,988	32,820	16,399
Administration	0	0	0

Table 28 - Other Grant Expenditures

11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

Total ESG Funds Expended	2020	2021	2022
	217,440	323,837	124,455

Table 29 - Total ESG Funds Expended

11f. Match Source

	2020	2021	2022
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	0	0	0
Other Federal Funds	0	0	0
State Government	0	0	0
Local Government	0	0	0

Private Funds	251,036	373,789	143,931
Other	0	0	0
Fees	0	0	0
Program Income	0	0	0
Total Match Amount	251,036	373,789	143,931

Table 30 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

11g. Total

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	2020	2021	2022
	468,476	697,626	268,386

Table 31 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities