

OC CISMA/OCPR Project Prioritization Criteria				
EVALUATION SCORING CRITERIA		Project Title		
Background	Score 0-5	Section	Weighte	
Applicant provided adequate contact information and description of the project (5= complete and thorough, 3= mostly complete but some information missing, 0= information not complete,	000.00	Weight	Total	
project cannot be evaluated)				
Project applicant is a current CISMA member in good standing (5=yes, 3= no, but applicant has no ability to influence membership, 0=no)				
TOTAL	0	0.15	0.00	
Project Benefits	Score 0-5	Section Weight	Weighted Total	
TREATMENT ONLY: Project addresses a high priority species (EDR, State or CISMA priority species list). (5=definitely, 3=somewhat 1=low priority 0=not a priority)				
TREATMENT ONLY: Project will result in improvements to a high quality and/or rare natural community; or significant vector to a high quality and/or rare natural comunity; or provides significant habitat for rare or unique wildlife or wildlife migration. (5=definitely, 3= improvements to medium quality or underutilized vector 1= improvements to degraded habitat or insignficant vector)				
RESTORATION OR PREVENTION ONLY: Project will result in improvements to a high quality and/or rare natural area/community or is highly visible to the public (5= improvements to high quality/rare/highly visible site, 3= improvements to medium quality or medium visibliity site, 1= improvements to low quality or low visibility site)				
RESTORATION OR PREVENTION ONLY: Project will provide ecological benefits to surrounding natural or human communities (5= tangible benefits provided, 3= incidental benefits may be provided, 0= no measurable benefits identified)				
TOTAL	0	0.35	0.00	
Project Importance and Maintenance	Score 0-5	Section Weight	Weighted Total	
Project has a reasonable timeline and scope (5= project can be completed within timeline listed and/or OCPR fiscal year and scope will address project objectives, 3= project timeline is unknown or longer than funding period and/or project scope will address some project objectives, 0= project timeline is too long or confusing and/or scope does not address project objectives)				
Future maintenance and/or monitoring is identified and funded (if necessary) (5=yes, 3= partially, 0= no)		•		
This project has been funded previously (5= no, 3= yes, but additional funding from OC CISMA/OCPR is reasonable, 0= yes, project should continue to be funded through alternate sources)				
If applicant previously applied for CISMA funding did the applicant assist in completing project objectives (5= haven't previously applied or were successul, 3=partially assisted 0=didn't participate/objectives were not met)				
TOTAL	0	0.30	0.00	
Budget and Implementation	Score 0-5	Section Weight	Weighted Total	
Project budget is reasonable within funding constraints (5= OCPR has adequate funding for project in fiscal year, 3= project can be modified to meet funding constraints, 0= project funding exceeds funding available)				
Project oversight is reasonable for OCPR/OC CISMA staff to ensure success (5= OCPR has contractors and staff available for the style of project proposed, 3= OCPR can bid contracts or		•		
amount is low enough to hire contractors, 0= contractors not available and/or staff cannot provide project management) TOTAL	0	0.00	0.00	
10000		0.20 Section	0.00	
TOTALS	Section Totals	Weight		
Background	0.00	0.15		
Project Benefits	0.00	0.35		
Project Importance and Maintenance	0.00	0.30		
Budget and Implementation	0.00	0.20		
SECTIONS TOTAL SCORE	0.00	1.00		